arXiv:hep-th/0211074v5 7 Oct 2003

MIT-CTP-3321
OU-HET 423
November 2002

Born-Infeld Action from Supergravity

Matsuo SATO[1)*] AND Asato TSUCHIYA[1),2)†]

[1)] *Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science*
Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

[2)] *Center for Theoretical Physics*
Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Abstract

We show that the Born-Infeld action with the Wess-Zumino terms for the Ramond-Ramond fields, which is the D3-brane effective action, is a solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) equation of type IIB supergravity. Adopting the radial coordinate as time, we develop the ADM formalism for type IIB supergravity reduced on S^5 and derive the H-J equation, which is the classical limit of the Wheeler-De Witt equation and whose solutions are classical on-shell actions. The solution to the H-J equation reproduces the on-shell actions for the supergravity solution of a stack of D3-branes in a B_2 field and the near-horizon limit of this supergravity solution, which is conjectured to be dual to noncommutative Yang Mills and reduces to $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the commutative limit. Our D3-brane effective action is that of a probe D3-brane, and the radial time corresponds to the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the dual Yang Mills. Our findings can be applied to the study of the holographic renormalization group.

---

[*] e-mail address : machan@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp
[†] e-mail address : asato@lns.mit.edu

1 Introduction

Recent studies of D-branes have revealed many aspects of the connections between gauge theories and gravities (string theories). In particular, the AdS/CFT correspondence [1, 2] was originally based on an observation that the dynamics of D3-branes can be described under some circumstances both by super Yang Mills and by type IIB supergravity. First, the low velocity dynamics of N D3-branes that are located almost on top each other can be described by super Yang Mills, since in this case the higher excited modes of open strings can be ignored [3]. Second, the region near the horizon of the N D3-branes, whose geometry is $AdS_5 \times S^5$, is described well by supergravity when the curvature radius $R(= (4\pi g_s N)^{\frac{1}{4}} = (4\pi g_{YM}^2 N)^{\frac{1}{4}})$ of AdS_5 is sufficiently large. Thus the open-closed string duality leads to a conjecture that $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills with large 't Hooft coupling is dual to type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

Although the correspondence between $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills and type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ has been tested mainly at the conformally invariant point [4], the above consideration motivates us to conjecture that it is also valid in the Coulomb branch [5, 6]. Indeed, on the one hand, the effective action of the D3-brane probing the N D3-branes, which should take the form of the Born-Infeld action [7] on the AdS_5 background, is determined only by the broken conformal invariance [1]. On the other hand, the effective action of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills in which the $SU(N+1)$ gauge symmetry is broken to $U(1) \times SU(N)$ due to the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field is conjectured to take the form of the Born-Infeld action on the AdS_5 background in the large 't Hooft coupling limit [8].

The effective action of the probe D3-brane should be obtained in principle by calculating (the logarithm of) the transition amplitude between the vacuum and the boundary state representing the probe D3-brane on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background in type IIB superstring. This has not yet been accomplished, because there does not yet exist a quantized theory of type IIB superstring on such a background. However, the above argument suggests that one can obtain the effective action of the probe D3-brane by calculating the classical on-shell action in type IIB supergravity, which is the classical counterpart of the transition amplitude.

In this paper, we show that this is indeed the case, at least for the 'flat' probe D3-brane. Here 'flat' means that we do not consider fluctuations transverse to the world-volume. The formalism suitable for this purpose is that of the Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) equation in type

IIB supergravity, which is the classical limit of the Wheeler-De Witt equation and whose solutions are classical on-shell actions. We reduce type IIB supergravity on S^5, keeping the anti-symmetric tensor field and the Ramond-Ramond (R-R) fields, and obtain a five-dimensional gravity. Adopting the radial coordinate as time, we develop the ADM formalism for this five-dimensional gravity and derive the H-J equation. We solve the equation under the condition that the fields be constant on fixed-time surfaces. We show that the Born-Infeld action with the Wess-Zumino terms for the R-R fields is one of the solutions to the H-J equation. In general, the H-J equation has infinitely many solutions. Our solution to the H-J equation is the on-shell action for various near-horizon geometries of many D3-branes. In fact, the on-shell action for the supergravity solution representing the near-horizon limit of a stack of D3-branes in a B_2 field [9], which is conjectured to be dual to noncommutative Yang Mills and reduces to $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the commutative limit, is reproduced by the solution to the H-J equation. It is conjectured that the solution to the H-J equation also includes the on-shell actions for general fluctuations around this supergravity solution. The solution to the H-J equation is the effective action of a probe D3-brane located in the backgrounds of the near-horizon geometries. The radial time corresponds to the position of the probe D3-brane and the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the dual Yang Mills. Moreover, the solution to the H-J equation also reproduces the on-shell action for the supergravity solution of a stack of D3-branes in a B_2 field without the near-horizon limit. It is relevant to investigate whether this result for the region outside the near-horizon is universal or accidental and due to the special case in which only the 'flat' D3-brane is considered. This result should be related to the fact that the Laplacian for the transverse parts in the geometry generated by a stack of D3-branes is proportional to the flat space Laplacian [5] (see also Ref.[10]). The supersymmetry should also be essential in this result, since the R-R fields play crucial roles in our calculation, and therefore a counterpart to the nonrenormalization theorem in the dual Yang Mills should hold in supergravity. We can generalize our analysis to the cases of general Dp-branes.

Our results clarify a relation between the effective action in super Yang Mills in the Coulomb branch and the on-shell action in supergravity. They also lead to the question of whether the effective action in noncommutative Yang Mills or in super Yang Mills in different dimensions takes the form of the Born-Infeld action. If indeed it does take this form, this provides strong evidence of the duality of noncommutative Yang Mills or super Yang Mills in different dimensions and supergravities on curved backgrounds. We hope to address this problem elsewhere. In general, when one studies the gauge/string duality based on the D-brane picture, it is necessary to work first in a region of coupling strengths in which the supergravity approximation is valid, since the quantization of strings on curved backgrounds has not yet been developed well. Therefore, we believe that the study presented in this paper represents a prototype for approaches to this problem.

Another motivation of our work is to understand more general holographic renormalization group flows. The authors of Ref.[11] analyzed the H-J equations around general AdS backgrounds and derived the holographic renormalization group equation for the dual gauge theories that are perturbed by the operators dual to the scalar fields in gravities. (For further developments, see Refs.[12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].) Our solution to the H-J equation can be interpreted as a potential that gives the renormalization group flows generated by the perturbations of the operators dual to the tensor fields. Furthermore, our study is expected to be useful for understanding the holographic renormalization group of noncommutative Yang Mills.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we perform a reduction of type IIB supergravity on S^5 and obtain a five-dimensional gravity. The self-duality condition for the R-R 5-form is treated carefully. In section 3, we develop a canonical formalism for the five-dimensional gravity based on the ADM decomposition and derive the H-J equation. In section 4, we show that the D3-brane effective action is a solution to the H-J equation. In section 5, after reviewing the supergravity solution representing a stack of D3-branes in a B_2 field and its near-horizon limit, we show that the on-shell actions for the supergravity solution and its near-horizon limit are reproduced by the solution to the H-J equation. In section 6, we show that the solution to the H-J equation obtained in section 4 is the effective action of a probe D3-brane. Section 7 is devoted to summary and discussion. In particular, we comment on the extension of our results to the cases of general Dp-branes. The equations of motion in type IIB supergravity are listed in appendix A. Some useful formulae are gathered in appendix B. In appendix C, we elucidate the meaning of the momentum constraint and the Gauss law constraints obtained in section 3.

2 Reduction of type IIB supergravity on S^5

In this section, we reduce type IIB supergravity on S^5 and obtain a five-dimensional gravity. In this paper, we drop the fermionic degrees of freedom consistently. The bosonic part of type IIB supergravity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2}\int d^{10}X\sqrt{-G}\Big[e^{-2\Phi}\left(R_G + 4\partial_M\Phi\partial^M\Phi - \frac{1}{2}|H_3|^2\right) \\ -\frac{1}{2}|F_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{F}_3|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\tilde{F}_5|^2\Big] \\ +\frac{1}{4\kappa_{10}^2}\int C_4\wedge H_3\wedge F_3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & H_3 = dB_2, \quad F_{p+2} = dC_{p+1} \;\; (p=-1,1,3), \\ & \tilde{F}_3 = F_3 + C_0\wedge H_3, \\ & \tilde{F}_5 = F_5 + C_2\wedge H_3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

the X^M $(M = 0,\cdots,9)$ are ten-dimensional coordinates, and C_{p+1} is the R-R $(p+1)$-form. In the above equations, $|K_q|^2 = \frac{1}{q!}G^{M_1N_1}\cdots G^{M_qN_q}K_{M_1\cdots M_q}K_{N_1\cdots N_q}$ for a q-form K_q. One must also impose the self-duality condition

$$*\tilde{F}_5 = \tilde{F}_5 \tag{2.3}$$

on the equations of motion derived from the above action. For completeness, we list all the equations of motion and the self-duality condition in type IIB supergravity explicitly in appendix A.

In order to perform a reduction on S^5, we split the ten-dimensional coordinates X^M into two parts, as $X^M = (\xi^\alpha,\ \theta_i)$ $(\alpha = 0,\cdots,4,\quad i = 1,\cdots,5)$, where the ξ^α are five-dimensional coordinates and the θ_i parametrize S^5, and we adopt the following ansatz for the ten-dimensional metric, which preserves the five-dimensional general covariance:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= G_{MN}\,dX^M dX^N \\ &= h_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\,d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta + e^{\rho(\xi)/2}\,d\Omega_5. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is a five-dimensional metric. We also adopt the following ansatz for the other fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi = \phi(\xi),\\
&B_2 = \frac{1}{2}\, B_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\, d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta \equiv B,\\
&C_0 = \chi(\xi),\\
&C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\, C_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\, d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta \equiv C
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_4 &= \frac{1}{4!}\, D_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\xi)\, d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta \wedge d\xi^\gamma \wedge d\xi^\delta + \frac{1}{4!}\, k\, E_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l}(\theta)\, d\theta_i \wedge d\theta_j \wedge d\theta_k \wedge d\theta_l\\
&\equiv D + k\, E,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

such that

$$5\, \partial_{[\theta_i} E_{\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m]} = \varepsilon_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m}$ is the totally anti-symmetric covariant tensor in S^5 and k is a constant. We have also defined B, C, D and E: B and C are 2-forms in the five dimensions, D is a 4-form in these five dimensions ξ^α, and E is a 4-form in S^5. We set all the other fields to zero. We will check below that the ansatz (2.6) is consistent with the equations of motion and the self-duality condition.. From the ansatz (2.6), $\tilde{F}_5$ can be evaluated as

$$\tilde{F}_5 = \tilde{G} + \frac{1}{5!}\, k\, \varepsilon_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m}\, d\theta_i \wedge d\theta_j \wedge d\theta_k \wedge d\theta_l \wedge d\theta_m, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is defined by $\tilde{G} = G + C \wedge H$ with $H = \frac{1}{2}\, \partial_{[\alpha} B_{\beta\gamma]}\, d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta \wedge d\xi^\gamma$ and $G = \frac{1}{4!}\, \partial_{[\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_5]}\, d\xi^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi^{\alpha_5}$.

We substitute these ansatzes into the equations of motion in type IIB supergravity (A.1)-(A.6). By using the formulae in appendix B, we obtain the following equations in the five dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{(5)}_{\alpha\beta} + 2\nabla^{(5)}_\alpha \nabla^{(5)}_\beta \phi - \frac{5}{4}\nabla^{(5)}_\alpha \nabla^{(5)}_\beta \rho - \frac{5}{16}\partial_\alpha\rho\partial_\beta\rho - \frac{1}{4}H_{\alpha\gamma\delta}H_\beta{}^{\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}\partial_\alpha\chi\partial_\beta\chi - \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi}\tilde{F}_{\alpha\gamma\delta}\tilde{F}_\beta{}^{\gamma\delta}\\
&-\frac{1}{96}e^{2\phi}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_4}\tilde{G}_\beta{}^{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_4} + h_{\alpha\beta}\left(-\frac{1}{2}R^{(5)} - 2\nabla^{(5)}_\gamma \nabla^{(5)\gamma}\phi + \frac{5}{4}\nabla^{(5)}_\gamma \nabla^{(5)\gamma}\rho + 2(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{15}{16}(\partial\rho)^2\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{5}{2}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial^\gamma\rho + \frac{1}{4}|H|^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi}(\partial\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi}|\tilde{F}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\rho/2}R^{(S^5)}\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$R^{(5)} + 4\nabla^{(5)}_{\alpha}\nabla^{(5)\alpha}\phi - \frac{5}{2}\nabla^{(5)}_{\alpha}\nabla^{(5)\alpha}\rho - 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{15}{8}(\partial\rho)^2 + 5\partial_{\alpha}\phi\partial^{\alpha}\rho - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + e^{-\rho/2}R^{(S^5)} = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}&R^{(5)} + 4\nabla^{(5)}_{\alpha}\nabla^{(5)\alpha}\phi - 2\nabla^{(5)}_{\alpha}\nabla^{(5)\alpha}\rho - 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{5}{4}(\partial\rho)^2 + 4\partial_{\alpha}\phi\partial^{\alpha}\rho - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2\\ &-\frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}(\partial\chi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}|\tilde{F}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}|\tilde{G}|^2 + \frac{3}{5}e^{-\rho/2}R^{(S^5)} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla^{(5)}_{\gamma}(e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}H^{\gamma\alpha\beta}) + \nabla^{(5)}_{\gamma}(e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\chi\tilde{F}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{6}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}F_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\tilde{G}^{\alpha\beta\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^{(5)}_{\alpha}(e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\partial^{\alpha}\chi) - \frac{1}{6}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^{(5)}_{\gamma}(e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\tilde{F}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}) - \frac{1}{6}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}H_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\tilde{G}^{\alpha\beta\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^{(5)}_{\gamma}(e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\tilde{G}^{\gamma\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_4}) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_{[\alpha}B_{\beta\gamma]}\,d\xi^{\alpha}\wedge d\xi^{\beta}\wedge d\xi^{\gamma},\\ F &= \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_{[\alpha}C_{\beta\gamma]}\,d\xi^{\alpha}\wedge d\xi^{\beta}\wedge d\xi^{\gamma},\\ G &= \frac{1}{4!}\,\partial_{[\alpha_1}D_{\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_5]}\,d\xi^{\alpha_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\xi^{\alpha_5},\\ \tilde{F} &= F + \chi\wedge H,\\ \tilde{G} &= G + C\wedge H.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

In the above equations, $|L_q|^2 = h^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\cdots h^{\alpha_q\beta_q}L_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_q}L_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}$ for a q-form L_q, $(\partial\phi)^2 = h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\phi\partial_{\beta}\phi$ and so on. On the other hand, the self-duality condition (2.3) gives the relation

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{F}_5)_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m} &= \frac{1}{5!}\,\varepsilon_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m}{}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_5}\,(\tilde{F}_5)_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_5}\\ &= -\frac{e^{5\rho/4}}{\sqrt{-h}}\,\tilde{G}_{01234}\,\varepsilon_{\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k\theta_l\theta_m}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

By comparing (2.8) and (2.11), we obtain

$$k = -\frac{e^{5\rho/4}}{\sqrt{-h}}\,\tilde{G}_{01234}. \tag{2.12}$$

The last equation in (2.9) implies that the right-hand side of (2.12) is constant, so that we have verified that the ansatz (2.6) is consistent with the equations of motion and the self-duality condition..

One can easily verify that the equations (2.9) can be derived from

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^5\xi \sqrt{-h} \Bigg[e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho} \left(R^{(5)} + 4\partial_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi + \frac{5}{4}\partial_\alpha\rho\partial^\alpha\rho - 5\partial_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\rho - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 \right) \\ -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho} \left(\partial_\alpha\chi\partial^\alpha\chi + |\tilde{F}|^2 + |\tilde{G}|^2 \right) + e^{-2\phi+\frac{3}{4}\rho} R^{(S^5)} \Bigg], \qquad (2.13) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} = \frac{\text{volume of } S^5}{2\kappa_{10}^2}, \quad R^{(S^5)} = 20.$$

Note that by substituting (2.4) and (2.5) into the ten-dimensional action (2.1), one can obtain the above action, except for $|\tilde{G}|^2$. We have thus reduced type IIB supergravity on S^5 and obtained the five-dimensional system. This reduction is a consistent truncation in the sense that every solution of (2.13) can be lifted to a solution of type IIB supergravity in ten dimensions. In the remainder of this paper, we set $2\kappa_5^2 = 1$.

3 ADM formalism and the H-J equation

In this section, we develop the ADM formalism for the five-dimensional system described by (2.13) and derive the H-J equation. First, we rename the five-dimensional coordinates as follows:

$$\xi^\mu = x^\mu \ \ (\mu = 0, \cdots, 3), \quad \xi^4 = r.$$

Adopting r as the time, we carry out the ADM decomposition for the five-dimensional metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= h_{\alpha\beta}\, d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta \\ &= (n^2 + g^{\mu\nu} n_\mu n_\nu)\, dr^2 + 2n_\mu\, dr\, dx^\mu + g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu dx^\nu, \qquad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

where n and n_μ are the lapse function and the shift function, respectively. Henceforth μ and ν run from 0 to 3.

In what follows, we consider a boundary surface specified by $r=$ const. and impose the Dirichlet condition for the fields on the boundary. Here we need to add the Gibbons-Hawking term [19] to (2.13), which is defined on the boundary and ensures that the Dirichlet condition can be imposed consistently [12, 16, 17]. Then, the five-dimensional action (2.13) with the Gibbons-Hawking term on the boundary can be expressed in terms of the ADM variables as

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 = \int drd^4x\sqrt{-g}n \Big[& e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}\Big(-(K_{\mu\nu})^2+K^2 \\ & +\frac{1}{n}\left(-4(\partial_r\phi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)+\frac{5}{2}(\partial_r\rho-n^\mu\partial_\mu\rho)\right)K \\ & +\frac{1}{n^2}\Big(4(\partial_r\phi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)^2+\frac{5}{4}(\partial_r\rho-n^\mu\partial_\mu\rho)^2 \\ & -5(\partial_r\phi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)(\partial_r\rho-n^\mu\partial_\mu\rho)-\frac{1}{4}(H_{r\mu\nu}-n^\lambda H_{\lambda\mu\nu})^2\Big)\Big) \\ & +\frac{1}{n^2}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_r\chi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\chi)^2-\frac{1}{4}(\tilde{F}_{r\mu\nu}-n^\lambda\tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu\nu})^2 \\ & -\frac{1}{48}(\tilde{G}_{r\mu\nu\lambda\rho}-n^\sigma\tilde{G}_{\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\rho})^2\Big)+\mathcal{L}\ \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = {} & e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(R_g+4\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi-\frac{5}{2}\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\rho-4\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-\frac{15}{8}\partial_\mu\rho\partial^\mu\rho+5\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\rho-\frac{1}{12}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}H^{\mu\nu\lambda}\right) \\ & +e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\chi\partial^\mu\chi-\frac{1}{12}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\right)+e^{-2\phi+\frac{3}{4}\rho}R^{(S^5)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature on the four-dimensional manifold given by

$$K_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2n}(\partial_r g_{\mu\nu}-\nabla_\mu n_\nu-\nabla_\nu n_\mu), \quad K=g^{\mu\nu}K_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, by introducing the canonical momenta, we rewrite the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 = \int drd^4x\sqrt{-g}(& \pi^{\mu\nu}\partial_r g_{\mu\nu}+\pi_\phi\partial_r\phi+\pi_\rho\partial_r\rho+\pi_B^{\mu\nu}\partial_r B_{\mu\nu} \\ & +\pi_\chi\partial_r\chi+\pi_C^{\mu\nu}\partial_r C_{\mu\nu}+\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\partial_r D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \\ & -nH-n_\mu H^\mu-B_{r\mu}Z_B^\mu-C_{r\mu}Z_C^\mu-D_{r\mu\nu\lambda}Z_D^{\mu\nu\lambda}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$H=-e^{2\phi-\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left((\pi^{\mu\nu})^2+\frac{1}{2}{\pi_\phi}^2+\frac{1}{2}\pi^\mu{}_\mu\pi_\phi+\frac{4}{5}{\pi_\rho}^2+\pi_\phi\pi_\rho+\left(\pi_B^{\mu\nu}-\chi\pi_C^{\mu\nu}-6C_{\lambda\rho}\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\right)^2\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-e^{-\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi_\chi{}^2+(\pi_C^{\mu\nu})^2+12(\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho})^2\right)-\mathcal{L}, &(3.6)\\
&H^\mu=-2\nabla_\nu\pi^{\mu\nu}+\pi_\phi\partial^\mu\phi+\pi_\rho\partial^\mu\rho+\pi_{B\nu\lambda}H^{\mu\nu\lambda}\\
&\qquad+\pi_\chi\partial^\mu\chi+\pi_{C\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}+\pi_{D\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}(G^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}+4C^{\mu\nu}H^{\lambda\rho\sigma}), &(3.7)\\
&Z_B^\mu=2\nabla_\nu\pi_B^{\mu\nu}, &(3.8)\\
&Z_C^\mu=2\nabla_\nu\pi_C^{\mu\nu}-4\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}H_{\nu\lambda\rho}, &(3.9)\\
&Z_D^{\mu\nu\lambda}=4\nabla_\rho\pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}. &(3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, by varying (3.5) with respect to $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, π_ϕ, π_ρ, $\pi_B^{\mu\nu}, \pi_\chi$, $\pi_C^{\mu\nu}$ and $\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$, we obtain the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\mu\nu}&=e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(-K_{\mu\nu}+g_{\mu\nu}K-\frac{2}{n}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial_r\phi-n^\lambda\partial_\lambda\phi)+\frac{5}{4n}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial_r\rho-n^\lambda\partial_\lambda\rho)\right),\\
\pi_\phi&=e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(-4K+\frac{8}{n}(\partial_r\phi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)-\frac{5}{n}(\partial_r\rho-n^\mu\partial_\mu\rho)\right),\\
\pi_\rho&=e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(\frac{5}{2}K-\frac{5}{n}(\partial_r\phi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)+\frac{5}{2n}(\partial_r\rho-n^\mu\partial_\mu\rho)\right),\\
\pi_{B\mu\nu}&=\frac{1}{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi+\frac{5}{4}\rho}(H_{r\mu\nu}-n^\lambda H_{\lambda\mu\nu})-\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}\chi(\tilde{F}_{r\mu\nu}-n^\lambda\tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu\nu})\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{4}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}C^{\lambda\rho}(\tilde{G}_{r\mu\nu\lambda\rho}-n^\sigma\tilde{G}_{\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\rho})\right),\\
\pi_\chi&=-\frac{1}{n}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}(\partial_r\chi-n^\mu\partial_\mu\chi),\\
\pi_{C\mu\nu}&=-\frac{1}{2n}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}(\tilde{F}_{r\mu\nu}-n^\lambda\tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu\nu}),\\
\pi_{D\mu\nu\lambda\rho}&=-\frac{1}{24n}e^{\frac{5}{4}\rho}(\tilde{G}_{r\mu\nu\lambda\rho}-n^\sigma\tilde{G}_{\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\rho}), \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by substituting these relations into (3.5), we reproduce (3.2).

Here n, n_μ, $B_{r\mu}$, $C_{r\mu}$ and $D_{r\mu\nu\lambda}$ behave like Lagrange multipliers, giving the constraints

$$
H=0,\quad H^\mu=0,\quad Z_B^\mu=0,\quad Z_C^\mu=0,\quad Z_D^{\mu\nu\lambda}=0. \qquad (3.12)
$$

The first of these is the Hamiltonian constraint, the second is the momentum constraint and the last three are the Gauss law constraints coming from the $U(1)$ gauge symmetries for B, C and D.

In the remainder of this section, we derive the H-J equation. Let $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x,r)$, $\bar{\phi}(x,r)$, $\bar{\rho}(x,r)$, $\bar{B}_{\mu\nu}(x,r)$, $\bar{\chi}(x,r)$, $\bar{C}_{\mu\nu}(x,r)$ and $\bar{D}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,r)$ be a classical solution of (3.2) with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0)=g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad \bar{\phi}(x,r=r_0)=\phi(x), \quad \bar{\rho}(x,r=r_0)=\rho(x), \\
&\bar{B}_{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0)=B_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad \bar{\chi}(x,r=r_0)=\chi(x), \quad \bar{C}_{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0)=C_{\mu\nu}(x), \\
&\bar{D}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,r=r_0)=D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x). \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

We also define $\pi_{\mu\nu}(x),\cdots,\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^{\mu\nu}(x)=\bar{\pi}^{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0), \quad \pi_\phi(x)=\bar{\pi}_\phi(x,r=r_0), \quad \pi_\rho(x)=\bar{\pi}_\rho(x,r=r_0), \\
&\pi_B^{\mu\nu}(x)=\bar{\pi}_B^{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0), \quad \pi_\chi(x)=\bar{\pi}_\chi(x,r=r_0), \quad \pi_C^{\mu\nu}(x)=\bar{\pi}_C^{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0), \\
&\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x)=\bar{\pi}_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,r=r_0), \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand sides of these equations are calculated using the relations (3.11) for the classical solution.

By substituting the solution into (3.2) with the boundary specified by $r=r_0$, we obtain the on-shell action S, which is in general a functional of $g_{\mu\nu}(x),\cdots,D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x)$ and r_0. The standard argument employed in the H-J formalism leads to the relations (for example, see Ref.[12])

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^{\mu\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)}, \quad \pi_\phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi(x)}, \quad \pi_\rho(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\rho(x)}, \\
&\pi_B^{\mu\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}(x)}, \quad \pi_\chi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\chi(x)}, \quad \pi_C^{\mu\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}(x)}, \\
&\pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x)} \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial r_0}=0. \qquad (3.16)$$

The last equation is characteristic of gravitational systems; that is, the on-shell action does not depend on the boundary time explicitly.

The quantities $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0),\cdots,\bar{D}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,r=r_0)$ and $\bar{\pi}^{\mu\nu}(x,r=r_0),\cdots,\bar{\pi}_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,r=r_0)$ must satisfy the constraints (3.12). Therefore we see from (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) that the constraints give functional differential equations for S. The momentum constraint and the Gauss law constraints imply that S must be invariant under the diffeomorphism in four dimensions and the $U(1)$ gauge transformations. We give a proof of this in appendix C. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian constraint gives a non-trivial equation that determines the form of S. We call this equation the H-J equation and solve it in the next section.

4 D3-brane effective action as a solution to the H-J equation

We assume that the fields are constant on the fixed-time surface. Let S_0 be a solution to the H-J equation under this assumption. Then, we see from (3.3), (3.6) and (3.15) that S_0 satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&-e^{2\phi-\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\phi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\phi}\right)^2+\frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\rho}\right)^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\phi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\rho}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}-\chi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}}-6C_{\lambda\rho}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\right)^2\right)\\
&-e^{-\frac{5}{4}\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\chi}\right)^2+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2+12\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\right)^2\right)\\
&=e^{-2\phi+\frac{3}{4}\rho}R^{(S^5)}. \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

We show that the form

$$S_0=S_c+S_{BI}+S_{WZ}+\sigma \qquad (4.2)$$

is a solution to (4.1), with

$$\begin{aligned}
S_c &= \alpha\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi+\rho},\\
S_{BI} &= \beta\int d^4xe^{-\phi}\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})},\\
S_{WZ} &= \gamma\left(\int D+\int C\wedge\mathcal{F}+\frac{1}{2}\int\chi\,\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}\right)\\
&= \gamma\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\left(\frac{1}{24}D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}+\frac{1}{4}C_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}+\frac{1}{8}\chi\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}\right), \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}=B_{\mu\nu}+F_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is an arbitrary constant anti-symmetric tensor, and σ is an arbitrary constant. Noting that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}-\chi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}}-6C_{\lambda\rho}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{WZ}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}=0,$$

one can see that the left-hand side of (4.1) can be decomposed into the four parts

$$\text{L.H.S. of (4.1)} = -e^{2\phi-\frac{5}{4}\rho}(\ (1)+(2)+(3)\ ) - e^{-\frac{5}{4}\rho}\times(4), \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\rho}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\rho}, \\
(2) &= 2g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\rho}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta g_{\lambda\rho}} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\rho}, \\
(3) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2, \\
(4) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{WZ}}{\delta\chi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{WZ}}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2 + 12\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{WZ}}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

(1) and (4) are easily calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= -\frac{1}{5}\alpha^2 e^{-4\phi+2\rho}, \\
(4) &= -\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{8}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu})^2-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}\mathcal{F}^{\rho\mu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

In order to calculate (2) and (3), we introduce the 4×4 matrices $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{B}$:

$$(\mathcal{G})_{\mu\nu}=g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (\mathcal{B})_{\mu\nu}=\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}}\right)^{\mu\nu}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\phi} = -2\alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_c}{\delta\rho} = \alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho}, \\
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}\beta e^{-\phi}\sqrt{\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mu\nu}, \\
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}\beta e^{-\phi}\sqrt{\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{B}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mu\nu}, \\
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{BI}}{\delta\phi} = -\beta e^{-\phi}\sqrt{\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Using this notation, we can express each term in (2) and (3) in terms of the trace of the 4×4 matrix and calculate (2) and (3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) &= \alpha\beta e^{-3\phi+\rho}\sqrt{\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\right)-1\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\right)+2-1\right) \\
&= 0, \\
(3) &= \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 e^{-2\phi}\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}}\left(\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\right)-\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+2-\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{B}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{B}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{B}}\,\mathcal{G}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 e^{-2\phi}\frac{\det(\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B})}{\det\mathcal{G}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 e^{-2\phi}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{8}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu})^2-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}\mathcal{F}^{\rho\mu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.1), (4.4), (4.6) and (4.8), we conclude that S_0 satisfies the H-J equation (4.1) if

$$\alpha^2 = 5\,R^{(S^5)} = 100 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 = \gamma^2. \tag{4.9}$$

5 Supergravity solution of D3-branes in B_2 field

In this section, we first review the supergravity solution of N D3-branes in a constant B_2 field background [18] and its near-horizon limit [9]. Next, we find that the on-shell actions for this supergravity solution and its near-horizon limit are included in our solution to the H-J equation obtained in the previous section.

The supergravity solution of N D3-branes with only $(B_2)_{23}$ non-vanishing that preserves 16 supersymmetries [18] is also a solution of the five-dimensional gravity (3.2) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& ds_5^2 = f^{-1/2}[-(dx^0)^2+(dx^1)^2+h((dx^2)^2+(dx^3)^2)]+f^{1/2}dr^2, \\
& f = 1+\frac{\alpha'^2R^4}{r^4}, \quad h^{-1} = \sin^2\theta f^{-1}+\cos^2\theta, \\
& e^{2\phi} = g^2h, \quad e^{\rho/2} = r^2f^{1/2}, \quad B_{23} = \tan\theta f^{-1}h, \\
& C_{01} = \frac{1}{g}\sin\theta f^{-1}, \quad D_{0123} = \frac{\cos\theta}{g}f^{-1}h, \\
& \cos\theta R^4 = 4\pi gN.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The ten-dimensional geometry of this solution is asymptotic to flat space for $r \to \infty$, while it has a horizon at $r = 0$ and behaves like $AdS_5 \times S^5$ near $r = 0$. When $\theta = 0$, the solution reduces to the ordinary D3-brane solution.

In order to decouple the asymptotic region with the B field remaining non-trivial, the parameters should be rescaled as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha' \to 0, \quad \tan\theta = \frac{\tilde{b}}{\alpha'}, \\
&x^{0,1} \to x^{0,1}, \quad \frac{\tilde{b}}{\alpha'} x^{2,3} \to x^{2,3}, \\
&r = \alpha' R^2 u, \quad g = \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{b}} \hat{g},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\tilde{b}$, u, $\hat{g}$ and the new coordinates x^μ are fixed. This scaling corresponds to the Seiberg-Witten limit [20]. Then, the supergravity solution (5.1) reduces to the following form [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&ds_5^2 = \alpha' R^2 \left[u^2(-(dx^0)^2 + (dx^1)^2) + \frac{u^2}{1+a^4u^4}((dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2) + \frac{du^2}{u^2} \right], \\
&e^{2\phi} = \hat{g}^2 \frac{1}{1+a^4u^4}, \quad e^{\rho/2} = \alpha' R^2, \quad B_{23} = \frac{\alpha'}{\tilde{b}} \frac{a^4u^4}{1+a^4u^4}, \\
&C_{01} = \frac{\alpha'}{\hat{g}\tilde{b}} a^4 u^4, \quad D_{0123} = \frac{\alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}} \frac{u^4}{1+a^4u^4}, \\
&a^2 = \tilde{b} R^2, \quad R^4 = 4\pi \hat{g} N.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that (5.3) is still a solution of the five-dimensional gravity (3.2) with the identification $u = r$. This solution is conjectured to be the gravity dual of noncommutative Yang Mills in which $\theta_{23} = \tilde{b}$. When $\tilde{b} = 0$, the ten-dimensional geometry of this solution is identical to $AdS_5 \times S^5$, which is dual to the ordinary $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills.

Let us show that the on-shell actions for (5.1) and (5.3) are reproduced by the solution (4.2) to the H-J equation. First, by using (3.11), we calculate the values of the canonical momenta for (5.1) and (5.3) on the boundaries specified by $r = r_0$ and $u = u_0$, respectively. For (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\pi_{00} = \pi_{11} = \frac{f_0^{-1/2}}{g^2 h_0} \left(5r_0^4 f_0 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^5 \partial_{r_0} f_0 \right), \\
&\pi_{22} = \pi_{33} = \frac{f_0^{-1/2}}{g^2} \left(5r_0^4 f_0 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^5 \partial_{r_0} f_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\theta\, r_0^5 \partial_{r_0} f_0 f_0^{-1} h_0 \right), \\
&\pi_\phi = \frac{1}{g^2 h_0} (-20 r_0^4 f_0 - r_0^5 \partial_{r_0} f_0), \quad \pi_\rho = \frac{10}{g^2 h_0} r_0^4 f_0, \quad \pi_{B23} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\pi_\chi = 0, \quad \pi_{C01} = \frac{\sin\theta}{2g} r_0^5 f_0^{-1} \partial_{r_0} f_0, \quad \pi_{D0123} = \frac{\cos\theta}{24g} r_0^5 h_0 f_0^{-1} \partial_{r_0} f_0, \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$f_0 = 1 + \frac{\alpha'^2 R^4}{r_0^4}, \quad h_0^{-1} = \sin^2\theta f_0^{-1} + \cos^2\theta.$$

For (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\pi_{00} = \pi_{11} = \frac{3\alpha'^3 R^6}{\hat{g}^2} u_0^2 (1 + a^4 u_0^4), \quad \pi_{22} = \pi_{33} = \frac{\alpha'^3 R^6}{\hat{g}^2} u_0^2 \left(3 + \frac{2a^4 u_0^4}{1 + a^4 u_0^4}\right), \\
&\pi_\phi = -\frac{16\alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}^2}(1 + a^4 u_0^4), \quad \pi_\rho = \frac{10\alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}^2}(1 + a^4 u_0^4), \quad \pi_{B23} = 0, \\
&\pi_\chi = 0, \quad \pi_{C01} = -2\alpha'^2 R^4 \frac{\alpha'}{\hat{g}\tilde{b}} a^4 u_0^4, \quad \pi_{D0123} = -\frac{\alpha'^4 R^8}{6\hat{g}} \frac{u_0^4}{1 + a^4 u_0^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Note that the right-hand sides of (5.4) reduce to the right-hand sides of (5.5) in the near-horizon limit (5.2). On the other hand, the solution (4.2) to the H-J equation gives the following canonical momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta g_{\lambda\rho}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho} + \beta e^{-\phi} A) g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta e^{-\phi}}{2A} \left(-\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\nu{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\nu{}^\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}^{\rho\sigma} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}^\sigma{}_\nu \right), \\
\pi_\phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi} = -2\alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho} - \beta e^{-\phi} A, \\
\pi_\rho &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \rho} = \alpha e^{-2\phi+\rho}, \\
\pi_{B\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta B_{\lambda\rho}} \\
&= \frac{\beta e^{-\phi}}{2A} \left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda\rho} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\nu} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{4} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho} (C_{\lambda\rho} + \chi \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}), \\
\pi_\chi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \chi} = \frac{\gamma}{8} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}, \\
\pi_{C\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta C_{\lambda\rho}} = \frac{\gamma}{4} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}, \\
\pi_{D\mu\nu\lambda\rho} &= g_{\mu\mu'} g_{\nu\nu'} g_{\lambda\lambda'} g_{\rho\rho'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta D_{\mu'\nu'\lambda'\rho'}} = \frac{\gamma}{24} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$A \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\det(\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{B})}{\det \mathcal{G}}} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu})^2 - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}^{\rho\mu}}.$$

We substitute the values of the fields in (5.1) and (5.3) into the right-hand sides of (5.6), setting

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

Noting that $A = h_0^{-1/2}/\cos\theta$ for (5.1) and $A = \sqrt{1 + a^4 u_0^4}$ for (5.3) on the boundaries, it can be easily verified that the right-hand sides of (5.6) reproduce the right-hand sides of (5.4) if

$$\alpha = 10 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = -\gamma = -\frac{4\alpha'^2 R^4 \cos\theta}{g}, \tag{5.7}$$

and the right-hands of (5.5) if

$$\alpha = 10 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = -\gamma = -\frac{4\alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}}. \tag{5.8}$$

These conditions are consistent with (4.9), and (5.7) reduces to (5.8) in the near-horizon limit (5.2).

Next, we compare the value of the on-shell action with that of S_0 directly. We substitute the values of the fields with $r = r_0$ in (5.1) and the values of the fields with $u = u_0$ in (5.3) into (4.3), respectively. For (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_c &= \frac{\alpha V_4 r_0^4}{g^2}, \\ S_{BI} &= \beta \int d^4x \, \sqrt{-g}\, e^{-\phi}\, A = \frac{\beta V_4}{g\cos\theta} f_0^{-1}, \\ S_{WZ} &= \gamma \int d^4x \, (D_{0123} + C_{01}\, B_{23}) = \frac{\gamma V_4}{g\cos\theta} f_0^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $V_4 = \int d^4x$. For (5.3), we obtain

$$S_c = \frac{\alpha V_4 \alpha'^4 R^8}{\hat{g}^2} u_0^4, \quad S_{BI} = \frac{\beta V_4 \alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}} u_0^4, \quad S_{WZ} = \frac{\gamma V_4 \alpha'^2 R^4}{\hat{g}} u_0^4. \tag{5.10}$$

Here, we set

$$\sigma = 0.$$

Then, it follows from (4.2), (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) that

$$S_0 = S_c + S_{BI} + S_{WZ} = \begin{cases} \frac{10 V_4}{g^2} r_0^4 & \text{for (5.1)} \\ \frac{10 V_4 \alpha'^4 R^8}{\hat{g}^2} u_0^4 & \text{for (5.3).} \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

In (5.9) and (5.11), the quantities for (5.1) reduce to those for (5.3) in the near-horizon limit (5.2). We calculate the values of the on-shell actions for (5.1) and (5.3) by substituting (5.4) by r_0 replaced by r and (5.5) with u_0 replaced by u into (3.5), respectively. Noting that the constraints in (3.5) are satisfied on shell, we reproduce the value of S_0 for (5.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_5^{on-shell} &= \int_0^{r_0} drd^4x\, \sqrt{-g}(\pi^{\mu\nu}\partial_r g_{\mu\nu} + \pi_\phi \partial_r \phi + \pi_\rho \partial_r \rho + \pi_B^{\mu\nu}\partial_r B_{\mu\nu} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \pi_\chi \partial_r \chi + \pi_C^{\mu\nu}\partial_r C_{\mu\nu} + \pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\partial_r D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}) \\ &= \frac{40V_4}{g^2}\int_0^{r_0} dr\, r^3 \\ &= \frac{10V_4}{g^2} r_0^4. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We reproduce the value of S_0 for (5.3) in the same way. Thus, we have shown that the on-shell actions for the supergravity solution (5.1) and its near-horizon limit (5.3) are reproduced by our solution (4.2) with $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$ when α and β take the values in (5.7) and (5.8), respectively.

6 Effective action of a probe D3-brane

In this section, we show that the solution (4.2) to the H-J equation obtained in section 4 is the effective action of a probe D3-brane. In section 4, we obtained S_0 as a solution to the H-J equation (4.1). S_0 is a functional of the boundary values of the fields and an on-shell action for a set of solutions of the five-dimensional gravity (2.13). In section 5, we showed that the supergravity solutions (5.1) and (5.3) belong to this set. Intuitively, the solution to the H-J equation corresponds to the effective action of a probe D3-brane located inside and outside the near-horizon region of a stack of D3-branes, and u and r correspond to the position of the probe D3-brane. In what follows, we give arguments that justify this interpretation.

The quantities S_{BI} and S_{WZ} in (4.2) together take the form of the D3-brane effective action if the metric, the dilaton, the anti-symmetric field and the R-R fields in those terms can be regarded as those induced in the D3-brane world-volume and $F_{\mu\nu}$ can be regarded as the $U(1)$ gauge field strength. Thus, if the above identifications are valid and S_c can be ignored, our interpretation is justified.

First, let us recall the relation between the fields in the target space and the induced fields in the world-volume. Let ζ^μ ($\mu = 0, \cdots, 3$) be the coordinates of the D3-brane world-volume and $\bar{\xi}^\alpha(\zeta)$ ($\alpha = 0, \cdots, 4$) be the embedding functions of the D3-brane in the five dimensions ξ^α. The induced metric on the D3-brane is defined by the pull back

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(\zeta) = \frac{\partial\bar{\xi}^\alpha}{\partial\zeta^\mu}\frac{\partial\bar{\xi}^\beta}{\partial\zeta^\nu}\, h_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\xi}). \tag{6.1}$$

The other induced fields in the world-volume are defined by the pull back in the same way. The effective action of the D3-brane is in general expressed in terms of these induced fields. When one considers the 'flat' D3-brane, $\bar{\xi}^4(\zeta)$ is constant, so that the induced fields are equivalent to the fields in the target space, up to a diffeomorphism in the world-volume. In our calculation, the fixed-time surface corresponds to the world-volume of the probe D3-brane. Hence, the above situation is realized, and the static gauge $\zeta^\mu = \bar{\xi}^\mu\,(= x^\mu)$ is adopted in our calculation, so that the induced fields coincide with the original fields in the target space.

Next, let us show that $F_{\mu\nu}$ in the solution to the H-J equation corresponds to the $U(1)$ gauge field strength. One can see that (5.1) and (5.3) remain solutions of the five-dimensional gravity even if the fields are deformed as

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\mu\nu} &\to B_{\mu\nu} + b_{\mu\nu}, \\
C_{\mu\nu} &\to C_{\mu\nu} + c_{\mu\nu}, \\
D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} &\to D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + d_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - 6\, c_{[\mu\nu}B_{\lambda\rho]},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $b_{\mu\nu}$, $c_{\mu\nu}$ and $d_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ are constants. In fact, if we introduce Λ, Σ and Ξ in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu\Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda_\mu, \\
c_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu\Sigma_\nu - \partial_\nu\Sigma_\mu, \\
d_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} &= 4\,\partial_{[\mu}\Xi_{\nu\lambda\rho]},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

the transformations (6.2) are identical to the transformations for the $U(1)$ gauge symmetries in type IIB supergravity, as explained in appendix C. However, S_0 does not need to be invariant under (6.2), because the partial integrations in the arguments in appendix C fail for Λ, Σ and Ξ in (6.3). Instead, $F_{\mu\nu}$ and σ in S_0 are transformed under (6.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} - b_{\mu\nu}, \\ \sigma &\rightarrow \sigma - \gamma \, V_4 \, (d_{0123} + 6 \, c_{[01} F_{23]}); \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

that is, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is equivalent with the constant shift of $B_{\mu\nu}$ caused by the gauge transformation. Therefore, it follows from a standard argument in string theory that $F_{\mu\nu}$ should be the $U(1)$ gauge field strength in the D3-brane world-volume.

Finally, in order to justify dropping S_c, let us see the dependence of each term in (4.2) on the dilaton field. By redefining the R-R fields in such a way that the action of type IIB supergravity is multiplied by an overall factor of $e^{-2\Phi}$, one can see that S_{BI} and S_{WZ} are proportional to $e^{-\phi}$. As is well known, this fact indicates that these terms come from the disk diagram in string theory. On the other hand, S_c is proportional to $e^{-2\phi}$. It is natural to consider S_c to come from the sphere diagram in string theory, which corresponds to (the logarithm of) the vacuum transition amplitude or the vacuum bubble diagram. Therefore S_c should be subtracted from the contribution to the effective action of the probe D3-brane. Thus $S_{BI} + S_{WZ}$ in the solution to the H-J equation is interpreted as the effective action of the probe D3-brane.

7 Summary and discussion

In this paper, we showed that the D3-brane effective action plus the cosmological term is a solution to the H-J equation in type IIB supergravity. This solution to the H-J equation reproduces the on-shell actions for the near-horizon geometries of a stack of D-branes and should correspond to the effective action in the dual Yang Mills with a nontrivial vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field. It also reproduces the on-shell action for the supergravity solution of a stack of D3-brane in a B_2 field without the near-horizon limit. Obtaining an interpretation of this result is an open problem. Our findings are expected to be a prototype for the calculations through which the correspondence between gauge theories and gravities is checked. They should also shed light on the holographic renormalization group flow generated by the perturbation of the operators dual to the tensor fields as well as on the holographic renormalization (group) in noncommutative Yang Mills.

We can apply similar calculations to the case of general Dp-branes. In fact, we have already verified that the Dp-brane effective action plus a cosmological term analogous to S_c form a solution to the H-J equation in type IIA(IIB) supergravity reduced on S^{8-p} for $p = 1, 2$. This result is natural from the viewpoint of Ref.[21], where it is shown that the effective action of a probe Dp-brane in the near-horizon geometry generated by a stack of Dp-branes, which takes the form of the Born-Infeld action, is determined only by the generalized conformal symmetry. It is relevant to investigate whether these solutions to the H-J equations reproduce the on-shell actions for the supergravity solutions of the Dp-brane corresponding to noncommutative Yang Mills in $p + 1$ dimensions and our results can be extended to the cases $p > 3$. We hope to report studies of these problems in the near future.

Some comments are in order. In this paper, we solved the H-J equation under the ansatz that the fields are constant on the fixed-time surface. In other words, we solved the equation in the mini-superspace approximation. Our solution to the H-J equation corresponds to the lowest terms in the derivative expansion of the on-shell action [11], whose derivatives with respect to the fields give the beta functions and the anomalous dimensions. It is important to obtain the higher-order terms in the derivative expansion, going beyond this ansatz, and elucidate what in the Coulomb branch of the dual Yang Mills corresponds to these higher order terms. One can also study the structure of the holographic renormalization group in ordinary and noncommutative Yang Mills in terms of the higher-order terms. We considered only the 'flat' D-branes in this paper. In order to treat a D-brane fluctuating in the directions transverse to the world-volume, we have to develop a new formalism that generalizes the ADM formalism in the gravitational system and enables us to consider a 'local' time.

Acknowledgements

A.T. would like to thank M. Fukuma, W. Taylor, J. Troost and T. Yoneya for stimulating discussions. M.S. would like to thank H. Emoto for stimulating discussions. We are also grateful to Y. Hosotani and D. Tomino for useful conversations. The work of A.T. is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement DF-FC02-94ER40818, while the work of M.S. is supported in part by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for Young Scientists (No.13-01193).

Appendix A: Equations of motion in type IIB supergravity

In this appendix, we list explicitly the equations of motion and the constraints for type IIB supergravity. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R^G_{MN} + 2D_M D_N \Phi - \frac{1}{4} H^{(3)}_{ML_1L_2} H^{(3)L_1L_2}_{N} - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} F^{(1)}_M F^{(1)}_N - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\Phi} \tilde{F}^{(3)}_{ML_1L_2} \tilde{F}^{(3)L_1L_2}_{N} \\
&-\frac{1}{4\cdot 4!} e^{2\Phi} \tilde{F}^{(5)}_{ML_1\cdots L_4} \tilde{F}^{(5)L_1\cdots L_4}_{N} \\
&+G_{MN}\left(-\frac{1}{2}R_G - 2D_L D^L\Phi + 2\partial_L\Phi\partial^L\Phi + \frac{1}{4}(|H_3|^2 + e^{2\Phi}|F_1|^2 + e^{2\Phi}|\tilde{F}_3|^2)\right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

$$
R_G + 4D_M D^M \Phi - 4\partial_M\Phi\partial^M\Phi - \frac{1}{2}|H_3|^2 = 0, \tag{A.2}
$$

$$
D_L(e^{-2\Phi}H^{LMN}) + D_L(C_0 \tilde{F}^{(3)LMN}) + \frac{1}{6} F^{(3)}_{L_1L_2L_3} \tilde{F}^{(5)MNL_1L_2L_3} = 0, \tag{A.3}
$$

$$
D_L F^{(1)L} - \frac{1}{6} H^{(3)}_{L_1L_2L_3} \tilde{F}^{(3)L_1L_2L_3} = 0, \tag{A.4}
$$

$$
D_L \tilde{F}^{(3)LMN} - \frac{1}{6} H^{(3)}_{L_1L_2L_3} \tilde{F}^{(5)MNL_1L_2L_3} = 0, \tag{A.5}
$$

$$
D_L \tilde{F}^{(5)LM_1M_2M_3M_4} + \frac{1}{36} \varepsilon^{M_1M_2M_3M_4L_1\cdots L_6} H^{(3)}_{L_1L_2L_3} F^{(3)}_{L_4L_5L_6} = 0, \tag{A.6}
$$

where D_M represents the covariant derivative in ten dimensions. The self-duality condition for the five-form (2.3) is expressed explicitly as

$$
\tilde{F}^{(5)M_1M_2M_3M_4M_5} = \frac{1}{5!} \varepsilon^{M_1M_2M_3M_4M_5L_1\cdots L_5} \tilde{F}^{(5)}_{L_1L_2L_3L_4L_5}. \tag{A.7}
$$

Appendix B: Some useful formulae

Let us consider the following reduction of the ten-dimensional space-time on S^{8-p}:

$$
ds^2_{10} \;=\; G_{MN}\, dX^M dX^N
$$

$$= \quad h_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\, d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta + e^{\rho(\xi)/2}\, d\Omega_{8-p}. \tag{B.1}$$

Here, the ξ^α are $(p+2)$-dimensional coordinates, and S^{8-p} is parametrized by $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{8-p}$. The ten-dimensional curvatures are represented by the $(p+2)$-dimensional curvatures and the $(8-p)$-dimensional curvatures as

$$\begin{aligned}
R^G_{\alpha\beta} &= R^{(p+2)}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{8-p}{4}\left(\nabla^{(p+2)}_\alpha \nabla^{(p+2)}_\beta \rho + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\alpha\rho\,\partial_\beta\rho\right), \\
R^G_{\theta_i\theta_j} &= R^{(S^{8-p})}_{\theta_i\theta_j} + \left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^{(p+2)}_\alpha \nabla^{(p+2)\alpha}\rho - \frac{8-p}{16}\partial_\alpha\rho\,\partial^\alpha\rho\right) e^{\rho/2}\, g^{(S^{8-p})}_{\theta_i\theta_j}, \\
R_G &= R^{(p+2)} - \frac{8-p}{2}\nabla^{(p+2)}_\alpha \nabla^{(p+2)\alpha}\rho - \frac{(8-p)(9-p)}{16}\partial_\alpha\rho\,\partial^\alpha\rho + e^{-\rho/2}\, R^{(S^{8-p})},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

where $R^{(S^{8-p})}$ is the constant curvature of S^{8-p}.

Appendix C: Momentum constraint and Gauss law constrains

In this appendix, we elucidate the momentum constraint and the Gauss law constraints. First, note that the five-dimensional action (2.13) is invariant under the following $U(1)$ transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{gauge} B &= d\Lambda, \\
\delta_{gauge} C &= d\Sigma, \\
\delta_{gauge} D &= d\Xi - \Sigma \wedge H_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

The Gauss law constraints $Z_B = 0$, $Z_C = 0$ and $Z_D = 0$ imply that the following relations hold for arbitrary Λ, Σ and Ξ, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(\partial_\mu\Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda_\mu)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}, \\
0 &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Bigg((\partial_\mu\Sigma_\nu - \partial_\nu\Sigma_\mu)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}} \\
&\qquad -(\Sigma_\mu H_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \Sigma_\nu H_{\lambda\rho\mu} + \Sigma_\lambda H_{\rho\mu\nu} - \Sigma_\rho H_{\mu\nu\lambda})\frac{\delta S}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\Bigg), \\
0 &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left((\partial_\mu\Xi_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \partial_\nu\Xi_{\lambda\rho\mu} + \partial_\lambda\Xi_{\rho\mu\nu} - \partial_\rho\Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda})\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

These relations indicate that S is invariant under the $U(1)$ gauge transformations on the fixed-time surface. Finally, we examine the momentum constraint $H^\mu = 0$. For an arbitrary infinitesimal parameter ε^μ, this constraint leads to the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \;=\; & \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\varepsilon_\mu\left(-2\nabla_\nu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\right)+\partial^\mu\phi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi}+\partial^\mu\rho\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\rho}+H^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_{\nu\lambda}}\right.\\
& \qquad \left.+\partial^\mu\chi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\chi}+F^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta C_{\nu\lambda}}+(G^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}+4C^\mu{}_\nu H_{\lambda\rho\sigma})\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta D_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}}\right)\\
=\; & \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\delta_{diff}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}+\delta_{diff}\phi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi}+\delta_{diff}\rho\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\rho}+\delta_{diff}\chi\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\chi}\right.\\
& \qquad +(\delta_{diff}B_{\mu\nu}+\partial_\mu(\varepsilon^\lambda B_{\nu\lambda})-\partial_\nu(\varepsilon^\lambda B_{\mu\lambda}))\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}}\\
& \qquad +(\delta_{diff}C_{\mu\nu}+\partial_\mu(\varepsilon^\lambda C_{\nu\lambda})-\partial_\nu(\varepsilon^\lambda C_{\mu\lambda}))\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta C_{\mu\nu}}\\
& \qquad +(\delta_{diff}D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}+\partial_\mu(\varepsilon^\sigma D_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma})-\partial_\nu(\varepsilon^\sigma D_{\lambda\rho\mu\sigma})+\partial_\lambda(\varepsilon^\sigma D_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma})-\partial_\rho(\varepsilon^\sigma D_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma})\\
& \qquad \left.-\varepsilon^\sigma(C_{\mu\sigma}H_{\nu\lambda\rho}-C_{\nu\sigma}H_{\lambda\rho\mu}+C_{\lambda\sigma}H_{\rho\mu\nu}-C_{\rho\sigma}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}))\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\right), \qquad \text{(C.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

where δ_{diff} stands for the diffeomorphism transformation with respect to the parameter ε^μ on the fixed-time surface. By identifying $\varepsilon^\sigma B_{\mu\sigma}$, $\varepsilon^\sigma C_{\mu\sigma}$ and $\varepsilon^\sigma D_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ with Λ_μ, Σ_μ and $\Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda}$, respectively, one can see from (C.2) and (C.3) that S must be invariant under the diffeomorphism on the fixed-time surface.

References

[1] J.M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.

[2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.
E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[3] M.R. Douglas, D. Kabat, P. Pouliot and S.H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B485** (1997) 85, hep-th/9608024.

[4] For a review,
O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J.M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Phys. Rept. **323**

(2000) 183, hep-th/9905111.
I.R. Klebanov, hep-th/0009139.
E. D'Hoker and D.Z. Freedman, hep-th/0201253.

[5] M.R. Douglas and W. Taylor, hep-th/9807225.

[6] A. Bilal and C. Chu, Nucl. Phys. **B547** (1999) 179, hep-th/9810195.
P. Klaus, F. Larsen and S. Trivedi, JHEP **9903** (1999) 003, hep-th/9811120.
S.R. Das, JHEP **9902** (1999) 012, hep-th/9901004; JHEP **9906** 029, hep-th/9905037.

[7] For a review, A.A. Tseytlin, *Born-Infeld action, supersymmetry and string theory*, in the Yuri Golfand memorial volume: The many faces of the superworld, ed. M. Shifman, World Scientific, hep-th/9908105.

[8] I.L. Buchbinder, A.Yu. Petrov and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B621** (2002) 179, hep-th/0110173.

[9] A. Hashimoto and N. Itzhaki, Phys. Lett. **B465** (1999) 142, hep-th/9907166.
J.M. Maldacena and J.G. Russo, JHEP **9909** (1999) 25, hep-th/9908134.

[10] T. Yoneya, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **134** (1999) 182, hep-th/9902200.

[11] J. de Boer, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, JHEP **0008** (2000) 003, hep-th/9912012.

[12] M. Fukuma, S. Matsuura and T. Sakai, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104** (2000) 1089, hep-th/0007062; Prog. Theor. Phys. **105** (2001) 1017, hep-th/0103187.

[13] S. Corley, Phys. Lett. **B484** (2000) 141, hep-th/0004030.

[14] J. Kalkkinen and D. Martelli, Nucl. Phys. **B596** (2001) 415, hep-th/0007234.

[15] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 064006, hep-th/0001192.

[16] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, Phys. Lett. **B500** (2001) 199, hep-th/0011182.
S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B519** (2001) 145, hep-th/0106191.

[17] D. Martelli and W. Mueck, hep-th/0205061.

[18] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 121, hep-th/9611047.
J.C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud and R.C. Myers, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 6438, hep-th/9611174.

[19] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2752.

[20] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909** (1999) 32, hep-th/9908142.

[21] A. Jevicki, Y. Kazama and T. Yoneya, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 066001, hep-th/9810146.